UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,784	01/26/2004	Michael P. Connelly	1842.012US1	9760
70648 7590 06/29/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH/WMS GAMING P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER	
			KIM, ANDREW	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/764,784	CONNELLY, MICHAEL P.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew Kim	3714			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SH WHIO - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some reply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed PNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>1/26/04</u> .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	•				
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicated a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exal The drawing(s) filed on 26 January 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square o the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for D All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 irmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) iter No(s)/Mail Date 3/11/04.	8) Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date Finformal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-9, and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishibashi (US 5,695,188).

Claims 1, 8, 15, 16, 17, and 18. Ishibashi discloses a computerized gaming system, comprising: a gaming module, comprising a processor and gaming code which is operable when executed on the processor to conduct a wagering game on which monetary value can be wagered; and an audio module (col. 4:43-67),

the audio module operable to play audio cues to direct the visual attention of a player of the gaming system, the audio cues comprising representation of the physical location of a game element presented on a video screen (col. 10:20-24) by variation in at least one of pitch, instrument, rhythm, volume, echo, phase, and location-specific sounds (Abstract).

Claims 2, 9. The computerized gaming system of claim 1, wherein localized sounds comprise sounds representing only a portion of the video screen of the gaming device (Abstract). The sounds represent the payline.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,784 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 4, 11. The computerized gaming system of claim 1, wherein the audio cues indicate the

payline in a video slot gaming machine (Abstract).

Claims 5, 12. The computerized gaming system of claim 1, wherein at least one of instrument or

phase changes to represent different reels where the gaming system is a multi-reel video slot

machine (fig. 7, Abstract).

Claims 6, 13. The computerized gaming system of claim 1, wherein the variation in at least one

of pitch, instrument, rhythm, volume, echo, phase, delay, and localized sounds is further

accompanied by panning to represent horizontal position on the video screen (col. 3:40-67).

Panning has been interpreted as vertical panning.

Claims 7, 14. The computerized gaming system of claim 1, wherein multiple audio cues are

presented at the same time (Abstract). When the reels are rotating at the same time, the audio

cues will be sounded at the same time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/764,784 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishibashi (US 5,695,188).

Claims 3, 10. Ishibashi substantially discloses the invention as claimed but fails to explicitly teach wherein pitch varies with vertical position of directed visual attention on the video screen. Instead, Ishibashi teaches generating sounds when each of the plurality of symbol columns is moved and stopped. However, it is well known to change the pitch of a sound with respect to its vertical position. For example in reading music, to indicate a note of a high pitch one would look at the notes at the top of the scale. Another example is the sound when a pogo stick hits the ground. Boing. One would obviously associate the high pitched sound for when the pogo stick is higher in the air. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to incorporate wherein the pitch varies with vertical position to notify the user where to direct his attention.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3714

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Loudermilk (US 2003/0128825) – System for playing music

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-1691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AK 6/23/2007

/Scott Jones/

Application/Control Number: 10/764,784

Art Unit: 3714

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Page 6